

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP102B ‘Power Outage Alerts triggered by an OTA firmware upgrade - enduring solution’

Conclusions Report – version 0.1

About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

Modification Report Consultation

SECAS received five responses to the Modification Report Consultation. All five believed that the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objectives (a)¹ and (e)².

¹ Facilitate the efficient provision, installation, operation and interoperability of smart metering systems at energy consumers' premises within Great Britain.

² Facilitate innovation in the design and operation of energy networks to contribute to the delivery of a secure and sustainable supply of energy.

Modification Report Consultation responses

Summary of responses

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) received five responses to the consultation (one Large Supplier and four Network Parties).

Three Network Party respondents stated that this modification will better facilitate SEC Objective (a). This is due to the Proposed Solution reducing non-genuine AD1 'Power Outage Event' Alerts and thus having a positive impact on the quality of services. The modification will also have a positive impact on safety and reliability, as Network Parties will have better visibility of genuine power outages, as erroneous Power Outage Alerts (POAs) will be mitigated because of the solution.

One of these respondents also believed the modification better facilitates SEC Objective (e) as filtering non-genuine AD1 Alerts will contribute to the delivery of a secure and sustainable supply of energy.

The Large Supplier commented that due to the charging statement, Large Suppliers have to pay for the majority of the change. This is despite Suppliers not seeing any benefit from this modification. They still acknowledged however, that there is a benefit for Network Parties through implementing this modification. The Large Supplier also commented that it would be beneficial to make public how the cost of modifications is paid for per Party category. SECAS has advised that a modification that will investigate current SEC charging processes is due to be raised and will look at what can be done in the meantime.